

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/516,404	11/30/2004	Wolfgang Demmer	3568.0100	2829	
Chemoff Vilh	7590 05/23/2008 EXAMINER			UNER	
McClung & St	tenzel		UNDERDAHL, THANE E		
1600 Ods Tow 601SW Second			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Portland, OR	07204-3157		1651		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/23/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/516,404	DEMMER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	THANE UNDERDAHL	1651	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 28 March 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, afficiarty, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:
periods.  The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statulory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: (flox it is checked, check ligher box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (a) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office lates than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of
The Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid distinsial of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  AMENDMENTS
<ol> <li>∑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because         <ul> <li>(a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(b) ∑ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> </ul> </li> </ol>
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
<ul> <li>4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).</li> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ul>
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).
7. \( \subseteq \text{ for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \( \subseteq \text{ will not be entered, or b) } \subseteq  will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: \[ \subseteq \text{ claim(s) allowed:
Claim(s) objected to:
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2 and 12-14</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:
/Leon B Lankford Jr/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1651

The Applicant has amended claim 1 from a membrane prepared by "a method comprising the steps" (al-(e) to "a method consisting essentially of the steps" (al-(e). M.P.E.P. § 211.03 states "The transitional phrase "consisting essentially of this the scope of a claim to the specified materials or steps "and those that do not materially affect the basic and novel characteristic(s)" of the claimed invention....For the purposes of searching for and applying prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102 and 103, absent a clear indication in the specification or claims of what the basic and novel characteristics actually are, "consisting essentially of will be construed as equivalent to "comprising". After amendment claim 1 is still directed towards a membrane and not a method of making a membrane. The Examiner was not given "a clear indication in the specification or claims of what the basic and novel characteristics" of the membrane is a result of the changes made to claim 1. Since claim 1 is a product-by-process claim and the determination of patentability is based on the product itself (M.P.E.P. § 2113), the new limitation provide a new process they may or may not have a material effect on the membrane. As a sut the Examiner would be required to further consider and search this matter since this method was not previously presented. Given that a further search would be required the frain Relection the amendment is not entered at this time.

As for the applicant's request for clarification as to why claims 3-7 and 9-10 where withdrawn please refer to the Non-Final Rejection mailed on 9/13/07. The Applicant elected group II (claims 11-14) in response to the restriction requirement mailed 6/29/07. However during the coarse of examination all the limitations for claims 1 and 2 were found. In the interest of compact prosecution, the Examiner included these claims into group II since he found all the limitations in his search for claims 11-14. No other claims were included since they were not part of the originally elected group II. Even though during the coarse of prosecution claims 3-7 and 9-10 were among the marking they are still excluded since they were withdrawn after the original restriction and were submitted as "amended" claims and not "new" clams.